



#### Democratic Support Plymouth City Council Ballard House West Hoe Road Plymouth PLI 3BJ

Please ask for Lynn Young T 01752 304163 E lynn.young@plymouth.gov.uk www.plymouth.gov.uk/democracy Published: 09 October 2015

# **AMBITIOUS PLYMOUTH**

Monday 19 October 2015 10.00 am Council House, Plymouth

#### Members:

Councillor Mrs Beer, Chair Councillor Bowie, Vice Chair Councillors Dann, Sam Davey, Deacon, Downie, Jordan, Mrs Nicholson, Riley, Singh and Tuohy.

#### **Co-opted Representatives:**

Edith Bayley (Statutory Co-opted Representative) Clare Hamon (Non-Statutory Co-opted Representative)

Members are invited to attend the above meeting to consider the items of business overleaf.

For further information on attending Council meetings and how to engage in the democratic process please follow this link - <u>http://www.plymouth.gov.uk/accesstomeetings</u>

Tracey Lee Chief Executive

# **AMBITIOUS PLYMOUTH**

#### AGENDA

#### PART I – PUBLIC MEETING

#### I. APOLOGIES

To receive apologies for non-attendance by Ambitious Plymouth members and to note the attendance of substitutes in accordance with the Constitution.

#### 2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Members will be asked to make any declarations of interest in respect of this agenda.

#### 3. MINUTES

#### (Pages 1 - 6)

To confirm the minutes of the last meeting held on 7 September 2015.

#### 4. CHAIR'S URGENT BUSINESS

To receive reports on business which, in the opinion of the Chair, should be brought forward for urgent consideration.

#### 5. EDUCATION PAPER/PLYMOUTH LEARNING (Pages 7 - 14) PARTNERSHIP

The panel will be provided with an Education report.

#### 6. CORPORATE PERFORMANCE REPORT - PERFORMANCE (Pages 15 - 20) INDICATOR UPDATE

Following referral by the Co-operative Scrutiny Board, the panel will receive progress updates in respect of Performance Indicators K14, K15, K19, K45 and K27a/b.

#### 7. WORK PROGRAMME

(Pages 21 - 22)

To review the Ambitious Plymouth work programme 2015 – 2016.

#### 8. EXEMPT BUSINESS

To consider passing a resolution under Section 100A (4) of the Local Government Act 1972 to exclude the press and public from the meeting for the following item(s) of business on the grounds that it (they) involve(s) the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in paragraph(s) of Part I of Schedule 12A of the Act, as amended by the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

#### PART II - PRIVATE MEETING

#### AGENDA

#### MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO NOTE

that under the law, the Panel is entitled to consider certain items in private. Members of the public will be asked to leave the meeting when such items are discussed.

NIL.

## **Ambitious Plymouth**

### Monday 7 September 2015

#### PRESENT:

Councillor Mrs Beer, in the Chair. Councillor Bowie, Vice Chair. Councillors Dann, Sam Davey, Deacon, Downie, Jordan, Mrs Nicholson, Singh and Tuohy.

Co-opted Representatives: Edith Bayley (Statutory Co-opted Representative) and Clare Hamon (Non-Statutory Representative).

Also in attendance: Pete Aley (Head of Neighbourhood and Community Services), Jayne Gorton (Lead Officer), Louise Kelley (Sports Development Manager), Councillor McDonald (Cabinet member for Children, Young People and Public Health), Anne Osborne (Head of Service for (CYPIC)), Julie Reed (Principal Admin Officer) and Lynn Young (Democratic Support Officer).

The meeting started at 10.00 am and finished at 11.06 am.

Note: At a future meeting, the committee will consider the accuracy of these draft minutes, so they may be subject to change. Please check the minutes of that meeting to confirm whether these minutes have been amended.

#### 15. **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest made by Councillors in accordance with the code of conduct.

#### 16. **MINUTES**

<u>Agreed</u> that the minutes of the meeting held on 6 July 2015 are confirmed as a correct record

#### 17. CHAIR'S URGENT BUSINESS

There were no items of Chair's urgent business.

#### 18. WORK OF THE SPORTS DEVELOPMENT UNIT

Pete Aley (Head of Neighbourhood and Community Services) and Louise Kelley (Sports Development Manager) provided the panel with an overview of the work of the Sports Development Unit.

Members were advised that -

(a) the main aim of the Sports Development Unit (SDU) was to increase

participation in sport and recreational activity across the city;

- (b) the unit was keen to focus on working within the most deprived neighbourhoods of the city;
- (c) the unit had close links with community groups, sports clubs, and national organisations such as Sport England;
- (d) the unit was very successful in securing significant amounts of external funding – in 2014/2015 this had amounted to in excess of £260,000;
- (e) participation in the unit's activities had shown a year on year increase for the past five years, with approximately 40,000 attendances in 2010/11 to approximately 63,000 in 2014/15;
- (f) a 14% increase in attendance from people living within the most deprived areas of the city had been achieved over the last five years;
- (g) a number of high profile projects had been delivered in the city, including the hugely successful Ping! initiative (a table tennis project funded by Sport England and managed and delivered by Table Tennis England in partnership with local authorities and a wide range of creative and cultural organisations), which would be repeated again next year;
- (h) the unit was working on developing stronger links with Public Health, and already supported the Thrive Plymouth (4-4-54) campaign, specifically helping to tackle inactivity.

Following members' questions it was reported that -

- the Ping! initiative was helping to reinvigorate table tennis in the city, encouraging people to take up the sport and encouraging others to return to it;
- a wide range of data was available in relation to the success of the various programmes run by the SDU;
- (k) the amount of funding available for each programme varied, and depended on the target group;
- (I) it was acknowledged that participation rates for people for disabilities was low, time and cost was a big factor in providing suitable support for participants;
- (m) a range of activities had been developed at the Plymouth Life Centre for people with disabilities, and included a Boccia Club, wheelchair rugby and basketball;
- (n) SDU had secured funding to develop and promote inclusive sport in Plymouth over the next three years;

- (o) the Plymouth School Sports Partnership co-ordinated sport and physical activities for Plymouth schools (Primary, Secondary and Special schools);
- (p) the 2 universities in the city were delivering externally funded programmes to increase sports and physical activity participation amongst staff and students;
- (q) the SDU targeted inactive citizens, and offered recreational/social, low cost activities, such as 'No Strings Badminton', to encourage participation;
- (r) the University of St Mark and St John (Marjon) run a 'Making a Difference Programme', designed to support Plymouth's young athletes through access to world-class facilities, coaching, sport science support and national and international competitions, enabling the city's young people to achieve their full potential;
- (s) the SDU comprised of seven members of staff two of whom were office based;
- (t) the unit was working hard to provide sporting opportunities for various communities and women. Activities had been provided for members of the Kurdish and Filipino communities, and various women-only activities were provided at the Life Centre;
- (u) 'cardio tennis', fun and informal recreational tennis, was aimed specifically at adult beginners;
- (v) the unit worked with Elder Tree to encourage participation amongst the elderly community – sessions of 'seated aerobics' were available to people aged over 70 - and the unit would become more involved in this area of work when they became more involved with Public Health;
- (w) Sport England had a very tight definition of what activities were classified as 'sport' and more activities (such as walking) were now included in this definition, as it was recognised that some activities were a 'stepping stone' towards participation in sport;
- (x) the unit was actively involved with various community groups, and were members of the Mental Health forum;
- (y) the unit makes use of volunteers to run many of their sporting activities, including running and cycling;
- (z) a number of students at Plymouth University and Marjon acted as volunteers for various sporting activities, and this was an area the unit were looking to develop more in the future;
- (aa) the cost of each activity varied depending on the amount of funding it attracted, but allowed activity to be offered for free or at low-cost.

The Chair thanked Pete Aley and Louise Kelley for their report.

#### 19. CHANGES RESULTING FROM THE ADOPTION BILL

Anne Osborne (Head of Service for (CYPIC)) and Councillor McDonald (Cabinet member for Children, Young People and Public Health) provided the panel with an update on the changes resulting from the Adoption Bill.

The panel were informed that -

- (a) the aim of the Education and Adoption Bill (if passed) was to give to the Secretary of State the power to direct one or more named local authorities to make arrangements for any or all of their adoption functions to be carried out on their behalf, by one of the local authorities named, or by another agency;
- (b) the Department for Education (DfE) released a paper called 'Regionalising Adoption' in June 2015, and asked for expressions of interest from adoption agencies to move towards regional adoption agencies;
- (c) funding of £4.5 million was available and Local Authorities had been invited to bid for a grant;
- (d) Adopt South West (ASW) launched in April 2015 as a co-operative regional partnership and was a partnership between Plymouth City Council, Devon County Council, Torbay Council, Barnardos SW and Families for Children;
- (e) it was possible that some of the smaller independent adoption agencies would merge and create larger agencies, which would lead to economies of scale and provide access to a larger pool of adopters;
- (f) the creation of ASW had resulted in a call centre number, a website, and a television campaign.

Following members' questions it was reported that -

- (g) ASW had submitted a bid for a grant from the £4.5 million fund;
- (h) some previous funding bids had been unsuccessful;
- (i) there had recently been changes in the recruitment of adopters, and the timescale for approval had been reduced;
- (jl) adopters who could take older children, sibling groups and children with complex needs were being actively sought;
- (k) successful matching of children took a considerable amount of time;
- (I) it was not always possible to place older children in Plymouth, and it was often necessary to recruit adopters further afield in Devon and Cornwall;

- (m) ASW had been launched as a co-operative regional agency, which was not a legal entity or agency. The status of ASW would be looked at again if the bid for funding was successful;
- (n) Cornwall Council had been invited to join ASW, and a variation to the funding agreement had been drafted in preparation;
- (o) the recent television campaign had been successful, resulting in an increase in people interested in adopting;
- (p) it was important to convey the message that there was no such thing as the 'ideal' or 'perfect' adoptive family, and as such, people from all walks of life would be considered as an adopter.

The Chair thanked Anne Osborne and Councillor McDonald for their report.

#### 20. WORK PROGRAMME

The panel discussed the draft work programme for 2015 – 2016 and <u>agreed</u> to recommend to the Cooperative Scrutiny Board the following items for inclusion:

- (1) work of Plymouth School Sports Partnership;
- (2) update on the changes resulting from Adoption Bill.

#### 21. **EXEMPT BUSINESS**

There were no items of exempt business.

# BRIEFING FOR AMBITIOUS SCRUTINY 19<sup>th</sup> October 2015 Education Paper



#### Blended approach

The Plymouth Teaching School Alliance (PTSA) was awarded the prestigious Teaching School Status in March 2012 and is led by Prince Rock and High View Primary Schools. The Alliance is made up of almost all of the city's schools; each contributing and being involved in the work of the Alliance. The remit of Plymouth Teaching School is to:

•Lead an alliance of other schools and partners to improve the quality of leadership, teaching and learning across the Plymouth Teaching School Alliance;

•Train the next generation of outstanding teachers with its Higher Education Institutions and other partners, so that there is a supply of high-quality new teachers;

•Lead peer-to-peer learning and professional development, including the designation and deployment of Specialist Leaders of Education;

•Identify and manage talent and nurture leadership potential;

•Form a national network to support schools in innovation and knowledge transfer;

•Be at the heart of a different strategy of school improvement that puts responsibility on the profession and schools themselves.

Plymouth LA supported PTSA's application for Teaching School Status and has sat as a key strategic partner on its board since then. We work in partnership to ensure that Plymouth's schools benefit from a joined up **'blended'** offer of support and challenge. With less money available for the Council to fund school improvement this has been a very effective way of preserving a central service. The PTSA's work is divided into the following key areas:

- Continuing Professional Development
- School 2 School Support
- Initial Teacher Education & Training
- Leadership
- Research and development.

The benefits to Plymouth:

- We now publish a shared directory of training courses available to all school staff. This includes courses provided by the LA, PTSA, Marjon and Plymouth University;
- Very close partnerships with the universities mean that the best newly qualified teachers are offered jobs in Plymouth;
- Subject hubs have been set up to ensure that teachers can access like-minded professionals to share knowledge and specific training opportunities. The LA has a link with most hubs;
- Schools that have been supported through our 'blended' school improvement approach have made greater improvement than Plymouth's other schools;
- Limited resources are combined so funding is not wasted though duplication of effort;
- Leadership talent is nurtured to improve succession planning for Plymouth's schools.

#### Under-performing/coasting schools

The Education and Adoption Bill is currently being debated. Clause 1 of the Bill will create a new category of 'coasting' school. The Department for Education (DfE) will consult on the exact definition but it could impact on a large number of Plymouth's schools.

Those schools, which have been deemed to be "coasting" for a number of years, will be offered help from the best education experts in the country to improve their results and will be required to produce a clear plan for improvement....

The policy is about capturing those schools which have, year on year, failed to push every pupil to reach their potential. The regulations therefore identify a group of schools which, as shown in three years of data, are performing below a reasonable level of attainment and progress....

The Government's Regional Schools Commissioners - eight education experts with in-depth local insight supported by elected head teacher boards from the local community - will then assess whether or not the school has a credible plan to improve sufficiently. Those that can improve will be supported to do so by our team of expert heads and those that cannot will be turned into academies under the leadership of our expert school sponsors....

Once tests and examinations have been sat and verified in 2016, we will then use these regulations to set out the exact coasting level which will apply in that year, based on the accountability measures being introduced in 2016. (DfE guidance note).

Summary:

- Current floor standards require schools to exceed a minimum threshold score (65% L4+ combined reading, writing and maths or 40% 5xA\*-C including English and maths) as well as be above the median national progress levels in each core subject.
- The new coasting levels are proposed to be set at a higher level than the current floor standards. 85% for primary schools plus below median national progress in reading, writing and maths and 60% for secondary schools plus below national median progress in English and maths.
- A school will be coasting if it has fallen under the coasting criteria for all of the three years 2014, 2015 and 2016.
- The levels prescribed in draft regulations will not be applied to special schools a new definition will be developed for these schools and for Pupil Referral Units.
- No school in Plymouth is currently 'coasting' as the definition is subject to consultation and will not be applied until 2016.

#### Multi Academy Trusts

The Regional Schools Commissioner (RSC) Sir David Carter is supported by a board of academy principals who approve new academy sponsors or the conversion of schools to academy status.

RSC four priority areas:

 Developing regional capacity to support academies, free schools, studio schools and UTCs through formal collaboration – to lead and encourage our fastest improving and our best schools to play a greater role as leaders of MATs and as sponsors. This includes developing strong sponsors to support underperforming maintained schools and supporting schools who have decided to become academies within MATs.

- Intervening in underperforming academies ensuring the right balance between challenge and support, conversations and interactions with MATs and single academy trusts; holding leaders and governors to account for the commitments they have made to improve standards for their communities.
- Developing the role of the Head Teacher Board building on the expertise and knowledge that they have provided in year one to provide further input at a sub-regional level and to lead on strategic development across the region.
- Increasing the number of free schools working closely with local authorities, MATs and single
  academy trusts to deliver the vision of the Government to increase the number of free schools to
  500 by 2020.

Name	Sponsor
All Saints Academy Plymouth	CE Diocese, Marjon, PCC
Marine Academy Plymouth	Plymouth University, Cornwall College, PCC
Mayflower Community Academy	Talent and Enterprise Trust (Plymouth University)
St George's Academy	St Christopher's MAT (CE Diocese)
Marine Academy Primary	MAP Secondary Academy
Old Priory Junior Academy	St Christopher's MAT
St Matthew's Academy	St Christopher's MAT
Austin Farm Academy	Eggbuckland Secondary Academy
St Boniface, Notre Dame, St Peter's,	Catholic and Anglican Schools Trust (RC Diocese)
St Pauls', Holy Cross, Keyham	
Barton, St Joseph's, Cathedral	
Plymouth School of Creative Arts	Plymouth College of Art

#### **Multi Academy Trusts in Plymouth**

#### Summary

All schools are expected to become academies by 2020. The aim is for each school to be in a MAT led by a strong sponsor. PCC works with the DfE, RSC and schools to find local solutions to ensure that accountability remains within existing communities.

#### **Children's Centre Performance**

75% are currently 'good' or better with the rest being 'satisfactory' (on the old framework).

Cluster and organisation	Children's Centres	Ofsted Grade – Old Ofsted framework pre April 14	Ofsted Grade- Post April 14 (New Ofsted Framework)
Cluster 1	Nomony	Outstanding	
4 Children	Plumtree	Good	Good
	Plymstock		Good
Cluster 2	Green Ark	Good	
Action for Children	Manor Street	Not yet inspected	
Cluster 3	LARK	Good	
LARK	Popin	Satisfactory	
	Morice Town	Good	
Cluster 4	Tamar FOLK	Good	
4Children	Four Woods	Good	
Cluster 5	Dell	Good	
Barnardos	Crownlands	Good	
	Sweetpeas	Satisfactory	
Cluster 6	Southernway	Good	
Barnardos	Plym Bridge	Satisfactory	
	Whitleigh	Satisfactory	

#### Children's Centre Performance Management.

All organisations meet with the Early Years Children's Centre Advisor on a quarterly basis to measure their progress against the agreed targets and outcomes. All organisations are expected to provide qualitative and quantitative data. To date all organisations have met their agreed contractual targets and outcomes for 2014- 2015.

#### Priorities for 2015-16

The LA and Cluster priorities for 2015-16 will be agreed at the next quarterly review in November.

The current priorities are as follows:

- To encourage staff to work collaboratively as a cluster, and stabilise staff teams;
- To continue Advisory Board development, especially the role of parents in cluster governance;
- To effectively record the levels of vulnerable children and families, and their outcomes;
- To better capture the child's voice in order to influence their care and centre development;

• To track and monitor the impact and outcomes of children and adults, including evidencing learning, return to employment, improved health and educational attainment.

#### Summary

Performance within our Children's Centres remains generally good and the new commissioning relationship is developing. The new contracts reduced the staffing and funding and the scope of the work is now focused on reaching the most disadvantaged and hard to reach families.

#### Increase in free childcare provision

An election commitment is to introduce 30 hours of free childcare if both parents are working. The Early Years Strategic Partnership has included this as a priority from September 2017. Plymouth has a high take up of the current free entitlement with 98% of 3 and 4 year olds accessing early education in a range of childcare providers:

105 PVI early years providers

48 registered child minders

- 20 maintained Nursery classes
- 2 Nursery schools

Many providers have made significant alterations to their current provision to help the Local Authority meet its statutory duty to provide free places for eligible 2 year olds. This has included a significant capital investment to provide new nursery spaces. 1170 2 year olds currently take up a free place making Plymouth one of the better performing LAs in the country.

Possible implications for Plymouth

- With tax free childcare more parents might decide to go back to work, especially if there are 30 free hours on offer too, putting a strain on current provision.
- If more working parents take up this offer by extending the number of sessions their child is attending then some providers will struggle with capacity, especially where many of them have used their surplus capacity to take 2 year olds, leaving very little physical space for additional 3 and 4 year old places.

#### Provision

Of the 127 setting based providers including schools

- 10% cannot expand as they do not have sole use of the building
- 30% are situated where there is lack of space to expand.

This could have capital implications and impact on Plymouth's statutory duty to ensure sufficient childcare for parents who want it, particularly working parents.

Schools with a nursery class

• If working parents are currently using a maintained nursery class there are implications for where the family will take their additional hours (the current pattern of take up suggests that the majority are either not working or paying for additional hours elsewhere).

- Lack of space in schools to deliver the whole entitlement or to work in partnership with a PVI to deliver additional hours.
- Impact on maintained nursery places if families move their child to full day care setting to take up 30 hours in one setting.

Sustainability and pressures on providers

- Nationally it is felt that the funding rate provided for Early Education is insufficient to cover the costs and providers recoup this cost from those parents who pay for additional hours therefore if the funding rate is not raised they will not be sustainable.
- Implementation of employer's pension contribution, which will be phased in according to the size of each business, will add additional pressure to financial planning.
- Commercial business rates can impact on private providers adding to sustainability issues.
- Implications of the living wage and the cost of incremental rises for those with more responsibility e.g. room leaders and deputy managers
- The use of childcare is fluid and the impact/loss of income for 30 hours will be greater when a child leaves, especially for child minders.

The workforce

- Need to increase the workforce to ensure there are sufficient workers at every level especially graduates
- Currently many groups are reporting challenges recruiting high quality staff and this is hampered by the requirement for staff progressing to level 3 needing to have grade C and above in English and Maths plus the difficulty in recruiting graduates
- Attracting staff is a challenge as this is a low paid sector with a high turnover rate.

Benefits for working parents

- Working parents will receive a boost to their income having a further 15 hours of childcare funded for them. This should mean that families should have more disposable income to spend in the local economy.
- Mothers especially should be able to return to work or increase their hours of paid employment. The cost of paying for childcare in the past has been prohibitive for lower paid workers.

#### NEXT STEPS

- Undertake a review of current childcare provision to update current data to find out:
  - o % are working parents in each setting
  - o % of working parents taking up 30 hours or more
  - Plans providers already have for expanding provision to meet new offer
  - Providers that would have capacity to expand if capital was available.
  - Potential number of registered child minders who could take funded children for 30 hours or work in partnership with existing providers
  - Recruit more child minders to increase the number of places available.
- Analyse data to provide information on current take up and potential gaps in provision, and plan for ways to increase sufficiency.

- Consult with parents to be able to make an accurate prediction as to how many parents might be tempted back to work when new offer is in place and where potential places might be needed.
- Continue with recruitment workshops in partnership with 1000 Club and local training providers to encourage additional practitioners to enter the early years workforce.

#### Summary

The manifesto commitment will undergo consultation and change before it is rolled out nationally. We could apply to be a pilot LA so that we can learn about the challenges as well as benefit from grant funding to develop local provision.

#### Headteacher succession planning

The age profile of headteachers in Plymouth is similar to many LAs. The majority of headteachers are over 50. The MAT agenda may assist with filling the potential vacancies in that an Executive Headteacher will oversee a number of schools. Each school will be led by a Head of School. This is a model of provision that has existed in Plymouth for some time (Southernway Federation). Some senior leaders do not wish to be headteachers but would consider becoming a Head of School under the leadership of an Executive Headteacher.

This is a national issue. As a LA we support Governors in recruiting maintained headteachers. Most national adverts now attract single figure applications but Governors have been able to appoint without the need for advertising. Promoting Plymouth as a great place to live and work is a way of securing more interest in local headships. This has helped in the past with adverts highlighting the quality of life to be enjoyed in the city.

#### Summary

We will continue to work with Governors in supporting them to make good appointments.

John Searson Head of Education & Learning 29 September 2015

# Corporate Plan 2013/14 to 2016/17 - Post 2nd year review

	Pion	eerin	g					
Outcome	Portfolio Leads	Outcome Lead	Officer Leads	2015/16 Key	Key Action Description	Кеу	Performance Indicator	
The Council provides and			Pete	KOI	Speed up the delivery of Customer and Service Transformation Programme service reviews through developing a Council wide	P1	80% of customer contacts with the Council will be managed through the single point of contact, with 80% of enquiries dealt with at first point of contact.	
enables brilliant services that strive to exceed customer expectations.		Faye Batchelor- Hambleton	Honeywell	KOI	Customer and Service delivery blueprint and Customer Access Strategy.	P2	Provide fully transactional services on the web – through a "Citizen Portal" with a target of the national average and 2% (from 3% to 25%) by volume	
	Jon Taylor		Giles Perritt	K02	Intensify performance improvement on top priorities identified by Plymouth residents.	P26	(New) Proposed that a measure is included which tracks customer satisfaction (still to be described) will be included in Q3.	
	Pete Smith	David Draffan	David Draffan	K03	Step up support to the Culture Board in refreshing and implementing a city-wide cultural strategy - the Vital Spark.			
Plymouth's cultural offer provides value to the city.	Pete Smith		David Draffan	K04	Strengthen support to Destination Plymouth to deliver the Visitor Plan and a programme of events to raise the profile of the city to investors as a major stepping stone towards Mayflower 2020	P3	Increase in visitor numbers coming into the city.	
	Pete Smith		David Draffan	K05	Transform the city's cultural assets to provide greater value to the city through the development of the Plymouth History Centre			
A Council that uses resources	Mark Lowry	Andrew	Andrew Hardingham	K06	Align the five year Medium Term Financial Plan to the Corporate Plan and deliver the Council's Transformation Programme.	Р5	Percentage of residents satisfied that the Council provides value for money.	
wisely.	Mark Lowry	Haringham Andrew Hardingham		K07	Maximise Plymouth's opportunities to secure external funding.	P6	Increase the value of income levied to the Local Authority.	
Pioneering in reducing the city's carbon emmissions and leading in	emmissions and leading in Andrew		Paul Barnard	K43	Strengthen work with Plymouth residents, as well as the private and	P7	Reduction in city wide carbon emission.	
environmental and social responsibility	Mark Coker	Haringham Paul Barnard	r'aui dai har'd	Ν4Ο	public sector within Plymouth, to create a low carbon city.	P8	Carbon emissions reduction from Corporate estate & schools. (Tonnes Co2)	

	Grov	ving					
Outcome	Portfolio Leads	Outcome Lead	Officer Leads	2015/16 Key	Key Action Description	Кеу	Performance Indicator
More decent homes to support the population.	Chris Penberthy	Paul Barnard	Paul Barnard	К44	Encourage more homes to be available to rent or buy accelerating housing supply and deliver a range and mix of well-designed greener homes that will meet the housing needs of the city through the Plymouth Plan.	P9	Increase the number of homes completed (net).
A strong economy creating a range of job opportunities.	Tudor Evans	· David Draffan	David Draffan	David Draffan KI2 Intensify work with the Plymouth Growth Board and partners to deliver the Local Economic Strategy through systems leadership		P10	Increase the number of jobs created.
	Tudor Evans		David Draffan	K13	Enhance support to the Local Enterprise Partnership to maximise investment and economic growth in the Heart of the South West area through a Growth Deal and EU	P34	(New) Increase GVA (Per Head)
A top performing education	Sue McDonald		Judith Harwood	KI4	Accelerate delivery of the Children and Young People's Plan	P11	Maintain the number of schools and settings judged by Ofsted as good or better.
system from early years to continuous learning opportunities.	Tudor Evans	Judith Harwood	Judith	<mark>K15</mark>	Develop and deliver a skills plan for the city, in line with the future	P12	Raise the achievements of our most disadvantaged children
oppor curricies.			Harwood		growth agenda.		(New) % of residents with no qualifications
Plymouth is an attractive place for investment.	Mark Coker		Paul Barnard	K16	Create a Plymouth Plan (an overarching Strategy for the city) Enhance support to the Local Enterprise Partnership to maximise investment and economic growth in the Heart of the South West area through a Growth Deal and EU		Increase in the quality and availability of employment land
	Tudor Evans/ Mark Lowry	David Draffan	David Draffan	K18			and premises.

	Carir	זמ						
Outcome	Portfolio Leads	Outcome Lead	Officer Leads	2015/16 Key	Key Action Description	Кеу	Performance Indicator	
	Sue McDonald		Alison Botham	KI9	Accelerate delivery of the service improvement plan, transformation project delivery for C&YP and actions within the Commissioning Strategy	D14		
	Sue McDonald		Judith Harwood	<mark>K45</mark>	Create and deliver both the Early Years Strategy and SEN/D Strategy. (Note: under the Plymouth Plan these "strategies" will become "plan for's)	F 14	Increase access to early help and support.	
We will prioritise prevention	lan Tuffin	Kelechi Noaham / Alison Botham / Craig McArdle	Julie Frier	K21	Lead on the city's strategy for health and wellbeing. (Note: under the Plymouth Plan these "strategies" will become "plan for's)	P15	Increase the number of adults and families able to stay in	
We will prioritise prevention.	Sue McDonald		Rob Nelder	K46	Develop a clear research and evidence base to understand health inequalities across the city	115	their own home and communities.	
	Sue McDonald		Ruth Harrell	K47	Deliver plans for, that reduce individual risk factors and strengthen the role and impact of early intervention and prevention		(New) Propsed indicator that represents the Early Help offer for children and young people. (still to be described) will be included in Q3.	
	Chris Penberthy		Matt Garrett	K22	Deliver the Housing Plan Objectives	P20		
	lan Tuffin		Kelechi Noaham/ Craig McArdle	K23	Deliver integrated commissioning as part of IHWB transformation programme.	P16	Improve life expectancy particularly in those areas where it is the lowest / lower than the average.	
We will help people take control of their lives and communities.	Philippa Davey			Darin Halifax	K24	Strengthen and support co-ordination and capacity building in the voluntary sector and reinvigorate volunteering.	P29	(New) The % of (adults) residents who volunteer at least once per month
	Philippa Davey	Craig McArdle	Judith Harwood	K25	Lead agreement on and implementation of a new framework for working with citizens and communities for the city	D20	(New) The % of adult social care clients receiving	
	lan Tuffin		Craig McArdle	K48	Increase personalised packages of care to support people to live as independently as possible		self-directed support	

	Cari	ng Co	ont	•			
Outcome	Portfolio Leads	Outcome Lead	Officer Leads	2015/16 Key	Key Action Description	Кеу	Performance Indicator
			Judith			P18	Reduce the gap between the worst 10 neighbourhoods and city average rate per 1000 population for overall crime.
Children, young people and	Philippa Davey		Harwood	K26	Deliver the Community Safety Plan.	P31	(New) The proportion of people who use services who say that those services make them feel safe and secure
adults are safe and confident in their communities.	lan Tuffin/ Sue		Alison Botham	K27A	Ensure there is a relentless focus on safeguarding through the implementation of the Corporate Safeguarding Improvement Plan,	P19	Children's Safeguarding timing of Assessments.
	McDonald		Craig McArdle	K27 B	Plymouth Safeguarding Children Board and Plymouth Safeguarding Adults Board plans.		(New) A measure based on safety questions asked of young people in school. (still to be described) will be included in Q3.
	Philippa Davey		Judith Harwood	K29	Become a welcoming city that is diverse, inclusive and that combats hate crime.	520	Percentage of residents who believe Plymouth is a place where people from different backgrounds get on well
	Chris Penberthy		Giles Perritt	K30	Implement the findings of the Fairness Commission.	P20	together.
People are treated with dignity and respect.	lan Tuffin	Craig McArdle	Craig McArdle	K31	Improve the quality of the care and support market	P21	
	lan Tuffin		Craig McArdle	K49	Create a Dementia Friendly City working with partners		Overall satisfaction of people who use services with their care and support
	lan Tuffin		Craig McArdle	K50	Provide a seamless service for older people's care including smoother discharge from hospitals (working closely with the NHS)		

	Confident							
Outcome	Portfolio Leads	Outcome Lead	Officer Leads	2015/16 Key	Key Action Description	Кеу	Performance Indicator	
	Tudor Evans		Judith Harwood/Dav id Draffan	К32	Create and deliver a Skills Plan for the city working co-operatively with the Employment and Skills Board, Education, Learning and Families Service and the Local Enterprise Partnership			
Citizens enjoy living and working	Chris Penberthy	David Draffan	Judith Harwood	K51	Step up the delivery of the Child Poverty Plan.	P22	Percentage of residents who are satisfied with Plymouth as	
in Plymouth.	Chris Penberthy		Matt Garrett	K52	Develop a programme to improve the quality of private rented housing and take action against rogue landlords.		a place to live.	
	Brian Vincent		Simon Dale	K36	Reduce problems with potholes through increased investment in capital repair works.			
Plymouth's brand is clear, well- known and understood globally.	Tudor Evans	Giles Perritt David Draffan	Giles Perritt	K37	Strengthen the roll out of the Britain's Ocean City branding.	P23	Attract more people to live, work and visit the city from both the UK and overseas.	
Government and other agencies	Tudor Evans	Andrew	David Draffan	K39	Implement City Deal for Plymouth	P24	An increase in the amount of external funding and support from Government and other agencies.	
have confidence in the Council and partners: Plymouth's voice matters.	Tudor Evans	Haringham / Giles Perritt	Giles Perritt	K40	Develop a proactive approach to lobbying Government, working with the LEP and neighbouring authorities.	P33	(New) Proposed measure around the success rate of the Plymouth Offer and Ask which will be confirmed once all elements are defined. (will be included in Q3.)	
Our employees are ambassadors for the city and the Council and proud of the difference we make.	Pete Smith	Marion Fanthorpe	Matthew Fairclough- Kay	K41	Accelerate implementation of the People and Organisational Development Framework.	P25	Staff Survey – would you talk positively about the Council outside work.	

Agenda Item 7

# AMBITIOUS PLYMOUTH

## DRAFT

Work Programme 2015 - 2016

# Please note that the work programme is a 'live' document and subject to change at short notice. The information in this work programme is intended to be of strategic relevance and is subject to approval at the Cooperative Scrutiny Board.

For general enquiries relating to the Council's Scrutiny function, including this committee's work programme, please contact Lynn Young, Democratic Support Officer, on 01752 304163.

Date of meeting	Agenda item	Purpose of the a	genda item	Reason for consideration	Responsible Officer		
	SEND framework 2015- 2018				Jo Siney		
6.7.15	Children's Social Care Improvement Plan				Alison Botham		
7.9.15	Work of Sports Development Unit Changes resulting from Adoption Bill				Louise Kelley/Pete Aley Anne Osborne		
	Education Paper with Plymouth Learning Partnership				John Searson/David Maddison		
19.10.15	Corporate Performance Report – K14, K15 K19, K45, K27a/b	To monitor progress falling within the pan- reference.		Referral from Co- operative Scrutiny Board.	Judith Harwood/Alison Botham		
	Children's Social Care Improvement Plan				Alison Botham		
7.12.15	Department Budgets pre Budget Scrutiny		)		Judith Harwood/Alison Botham		
1.2.16	Work of Plymouth School Sports Partnership						
	Validated results SATS/GCSE's				John Searson		
	SEND framework 2015- 2018 – 6 Monthly update				Jo Siney		
	School Transport Contract Award				Jayne Gorton		
7.3.16	Update on the changes resulting from Adoption Bill				Alison Botham/Anne Osborne		
NEW – ite	ems not yet allocated	l a date					
Child poverty							
Scrutiny r	eview proposals		Description				
'Be-wise to C	hild Sexual Exploitation'			ubmitted to Co-operative Scr st meeting scheduled for 11 S			

